

The Nullstellensatz

k a field.

$$\textcircled{*} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Ideals of} \\ k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{I \mapsto V(I)} \\ \xleftarrow{I(x) \leftarrow X} \end{array} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Subsets of} \\ \mathbb{A}^n \end{array} \right\}$$

Theorem: Suppose k is algebraically closed.

Then $\textcircled{*}$ gives inclusion reversing mutually inverse bijections.

$$\{ \text{Radical ideals} \} \iff \{ \text{Zariski closed subsets} \}$$

Cor: The maximal ideals of $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ are all of the form

$$m = (x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n)$$

for $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{A}^n$.

Note $m = \ker(\text{eval}_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)})$

$$\text{eval}_{(a_1, \dots, a_n)} : k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow k$$
$$x_i \mapsto a_i$$

$$\text{i.e. } f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto f(a_1, \dots, a_n).$$

Cor: If I is any ideal such that $V(I) = \emptyset$, then $I = (1)$.

Cor: If I is any ideal such that $f \equiv 0$ on $V(I)$, then $f^n \in I$ for some n .

What does the Nullstellensatz "say?"

Think of an ideal $I \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ as a "system of equations" and $V(I)$ as its set of solutions.

Nullstellensatz: if k is alg closed then a system of equations & its set of solutions are equivalent pieces of data.

Baby example: — $k[x]$

Up to multiplicities (i.e. taking radicals) & scaling a polynomial is determined by its roots.

Now, we will prove the Nullstellensatz.

The crux is the following purely algebraic fact about field extensions.

Theorem: Let $k \subset K$ be a field extension. If K is a finitely generated k -algebra, then K/k is algebraic.

Contra positive: If K/k is not algebraic, then K is NOT a finitely generated k -algebra.

Proof: Warmup. - $K = k(x)$ is NOT a finitely generated k -algebra.
(Look at denominators).

Warm up: $K = k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is NOT a finitely generated k -algebra.
(Same proof).

Key fact: $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is a UFD. So every $f \in k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ has a unique expression as num/den where num, den are in $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ & $\text{gcd}(\text{num}, \text{den}) = 1$.

Terminology - (Non-standard)

Let $p \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be irreducible.

Call $f \in k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ "p-integral"

if p does not divide the denominator of f .

Observe: f_1, f_2 p-integral $\Rightarrow f_1 f_2, f_1 + f_2$ also p-integral.

Now if $f_1, \dots, f_r \in k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ are finitely many elements, then we can find a p such that all f_i are p-integral. (There are infinitely many irred. polynomials!). Then the k -algebra generated by f_1, \dots, f_r consists of p-integral elements. So it cannot contain $1/p$, i.e. it cannot be all of $k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. So $k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is not finitely generated as a k -algebra.

Full proof :- Assume K is a finitely generated field over k . (Otherwise it's clearly not fin gen as k al.) Then we have

$$k \subset L \subset K$$

$L = k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ & K/L is finite.

In particular K is a fin dim L -vector space. Fix an isomorphism

$$K = L \oplus L \oplus \dots \oplus L \quad (r \text{ times})$$

Let $e_i \in K$ the image of $(0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ (1 in i th place.) Then every $g \in K$ is

$$g = g_1 e_1 + \dots + g_r e_r$$

where $g_i \in L$.

Call g p -integral if p does not divide the denominator of g_i for any i .

Observe: g_1, g_2 p -integral $\Rightarrow g_1 + g_2$ also.
For g_1, g_2 , we need some more.

Suppose

$$e_i e_j = \sum_l m_{ij}^l e_l ; \quad m_{ij}^l \in L$$

and suppose p does not divide the denom. of any of the m_{ij}^k .

Then g_1, g_2 p -integral $\Rightarrow g_1 g_2$ also.

Now, the same proof goes. Finitely many g_1, \dots, g_r cannot generate K . Just pick a p so that g_1, \dots, g_r are p -integral & $p \nmid$ denom of $m_{ij}^l \neq i, j, l$.

Then the sub-algebra generated by g_1, \dots, g_e only contains p -integral elts. But K clearly has more.

□

From now on: k alg. closed.

Thm: Let $m \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ max. ideal.

Then $m = (x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n)$ for some (a_1, \dots, a_n) .

Pf: Consider $K = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/m$.

Then K is a fin-gen. k -algebra and a field, so K/k is algebraic. But k is alg. closed. So $K = k$.

Consider the composite

$$e: k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/m = k$$

Suppose $e: x_i \mapsto a_i \in k$.

Then $e: f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto f(a_1, \dots, a_n)$

So $e = \text{eval}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$.

Therefore

$$m = \ker(e) = (x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n).$$

□

Thm: If $I \neq (1)$, then $V(I) \neq \emptyset$.
In fact, there is a bijection.

$\mu: V(I) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Max. ideals of } k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$
containing I .

given by

$$(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mapsto (x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n).$$

Pf: First, if $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in V(I)$

$$\text{then } I \subset \text{Ker}(\text{eval}(a_1, \dots, a_n)) \\ = (x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n)$$

so $(x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n)$ is a max. id.
containing I .

Clearly μ is injective.

To show surjectivity, let m be a
max. ideal containing I . Then

$m = \text{Ker} \text{eval}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ for some
 $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$ by the previous thm.
But $I \subset m \Rightarrow (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in V(I)$

□.

Thm: If $f \equiv 0$ on $V(I)$, then
 $f \in \sqrt{I}$. (i.e. $f^N \in I$ for some N).

Pf: Consider $J \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n, y]$
 $J = I + (yf - 1)$. — $\textcircled{*}$

Then $V(J) \subset \mathbb{A}^{n+1}$ is empty.
so $J = (1)$

Then

$\textcircled{*}$ $1 = p_1 f_1 + \dots + p_m f_m + q(yf - 1)$
for some $f_i \in I$ and $p_i, q \in$
 $k[x_1, \dots, x_n, y]$.

Consider the map
 $l: k[x_1, \dots, x_n, y] \rightarrow k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ that
sends
 $x_i \mapsto a_i$ & $y \mapsto 1/f$.

Apply l to $\textcircled{*}$.

$$1 = p_1(a_1, \dots, a_n, \frac{1}{f}) f_1 + \dots \\ + p_m(a_1, \dots, a_n, \frac{1}{f}) f_m$$

Now clear denominators by multiplying throughout by f^N : & see that

$$f^N \in I.$$

□.

Remark: Reconsider the system of equations -

$$J = I + (yf - 1).$$

Note: $(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) \in V(J)$

$$\Rightarrow (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in V(I) \text{ and } f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \neq 0$$

Conversely if $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in V(I)$ & $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \neq 0$ then there is a unique y such that $(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) \in V(J)$.

That is, we have a bijection

$$V(J) \cong \underbrace{V(I) \cap \{f \neq 0\}}_{\text{open subset of } V(I)}.$$

Thm: $I(V(I)) = \sqrt{I}$.

Pf: $\sqrt{I} \subset I(V(I))$ is clear.

The other inclusion is the previous theorem.

Proof of Nullstellensatz

$$\left\{ \text{Rad. ideal} \right\} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{V} \\ \xleftarrow{I} \end{array} \left\{ \text{Zar closed} \right\}$$

Let us check V & I are inverses.

Take a radical ideal J . Then

$$I(V(J)) = \sqrt{J} = J.$$

So $I \circ V = \text{id}$.

Now take a Zariski closed set X .

Then $X = V(J)$ for some ideal J .

Note $V(J) = V(\sqrt{J})$, so we may take J to be radical. Then

$$\begin{aligned} V(I(X)) &= V(I(V(J))) \\ &= V(J) = X. \end{aligned}$$

So $V \circ I = \text{id}$.

□

Rem: In this course, we will mostly take our base field k to be algebraically closed. The Nullstellensatz will play a key role.

But often, it is desirable to allow arbitrary k . In that case, given $I \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ it is better to take $V(I)$ to be in \mathbb{A}_k^n rather than \mathbb{A}_k^n . Thanks to the Nullstellensatz the vanishing set of I in \mathbb{A}_k^n captures I completely (up to radicals!). In contrast, the vanishing set of I in \mathbb{A}_k^n may not.

Going further, it is often more convenient to have a tighter connection between ideals & zero sets — one that works on the nose for all ideals rather than just radical ideals. To do so, one takes the vanishing set $V(I)$ to be in \mathbb{A}_R^n where R is a variable k -algebra. That is, one lets $V(I)$ to be the functor

$k\text{-alg.} \rightarrow \text{sets}$

$$R \mapsto V(I, R) \subset \mathbb{A}_R^n = R^n.$$

$$\{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in R^n \mid f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0 \ \forall f \in I\}$$

This leads to the notion of a scheme.

But if I is radical, and k is alg. closed then the functor contains no more info than the set

$$V(I) \subset \mathbb{A}^n_k. \quad (\text{Thanks Nullstellensatz})$$

Regular Functions

For the moment, our objects of study are affine algebraic sets. Our next goal is to define a good notion of morphisms. We first treat the special case of morphisms to \mathbb{A}^1 .

Let $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ be an affine alg. set. A function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 = k$ is called regular if there exists a polynomial $F \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ such that

$$F(p) = f(p) \quad \forall p \in X.$$

Note: F need not be unique.

Let R be the set of regular functions on X . Then R contains a copy of k as the constant functions. That is R is a k algebra.

We have a map
 $k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow R$
 $F \mapsto (p \mapsto F(p)).$

This map is surjective by the def. of R .

The kernel of this map is $I(X)$.

So by the first iso. thm. we have

$$R \cong k[x_1, \dots, x_n] / I(X).$$

Now let k be algebraically closed.

Thanks to the Nullstellensatz, we have the following "algebra-geometry" dictionary.

- Eft of $R =$ Reg. function on X
- max ideal of $R =$ Point of X
- $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{max ideals containing} \\ \text{a given ideal } J \end{array} \right\} =$ Zariski closed subset of X .

What kind of ring is R ?

R is a finitely generated, reduced (nilpotent-free) k -algebra.

Conversely, any finitely generated reduced k -algebra R is the algebra of regular functions on some affine algebraic set X .

How? Write $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n] / I$.

Then I is a radical ideal.

Take $X = V(I)$.